Jubilee

A plan to take back our country because we all deserve a break from madness

Why now? because this is the hour of maximum danger as the following interview with a distinguished former undersecretary of the Treasury, Paul Craig Roberts, indicates. It was conducted June, 11, 2014. I have excerpted from it the following:

If even 1% of the [nuclear] arsenals of the US and Russia were used, the death toll all over the world would be at least 2 billion people and if half of the nuclear of either country was used it would be the end of life on earth, period and so these weapons are not usable . And their function was to prevent their use by the other and this was the basis for American strategic doctrine that there would be no first strike, they were retaliatory and it was the doctrine of mutual assured destruction known as MAD. And so the Russians and the Americans were both committed to use the nuclear weapons *only* in retaliation to a nuclear attack. Now that's where things stood until 2010, when American nuclear doctrine was changed to establish first nuclear strike and so the basis for American doctrine now is it can be used in a preemptive nuclear strike. And of course this worried the Russians because why would you change a doctrine that is quite clear to the Russians is aimed at them? Washington claims it's aimed at terrorists but how do you strike terrorists with nuclear weapons? You don't. And then Washington putting these anti-ballistic missile bases on Russia's border and then claim that this is against Iranian ICBMs but of course Iran doesn't have ICBMs. And so the Russians know that the Americans are planning a nuclear first strike and are putting anti-missile systems in place to shoot down any retaliatory of Russian missiles and so the Russians are watching this act of madness on the part of the United States government and the Chinese also are watching it. In fact, the Chinese have been so worried by the American war plans against China, that they released – it was two, three months ago – what they said was a demonstration of how the Chinese nuclear retaliatory force would wipe out the United States. They gave details of submarines off the California coast would wipe everything

from the Pacific to the Rockies and Chinese ICBMs going over the North Pole would take out everything from the Rockies to the East Coast. Now why would China do that? It is not in their practice to be threatening. They avoid that like the Russians do. They don't threaten people with military attack. They are trying to make the American people aware that the American government has plans for attacking China and that there will be a cost if that happens. I mean China doesn't want war any more than Russia does. And so they released this hoping that it would cause some attention and people would say, "Why is China doing this?" And then somebody would say, well, Washington is drawing up war plans. And lo and behold somebody did do that. A scholar in Washington wrote up a paper: Who gave Washington permission the right to draw up war plans against China? And so it is a way of trying to make other people aware – other influential people – that Washington has got all these nuclear first strike plans against Russia and China, because when you do that, and make it known, you raise the specter of these two countries deciding, well, my word, if they are going to attack us, maybe we should go ahead and attack them? And so the irresponsibility of Washington is unprecedented in world history. This is one of the most grave, strategic errors ever been made in history, which is to signal to two nuclear armed countries that you have drawn up nuclear plans for attacking them. This is madness, this is the kind of idiocy, the kind of arrogance, the hubris that defines the Untied States government. It is the greatest collection of dangerous fools (Paul Craig Roberts) on the face of the earth.

A few days later, June 17th, from on the other side of the pond, from Great Britain, came these corroborating statements by veteran war correspondent, John Pilger:

We have just seen recently these strategic nuclear [B2] bombers arriving here at an air force base from the United States, . . . and that clearly is a statement. You know, it used to be called saber-rattling. We used to have it year after year during the Cold War. . . and, yes, a civil war has been triggered, civil war could spill over into Russia. Those are the real problems but behind this is an old American design and that is the control of the sources and trade and strategic areas right across the European and Asian land mass. That's no secret. That has been going

on pretty well since the United States discovered itself as a great world power round about the time of the Korean War.

It is all aggressive provocation. It seems almost incredulous that they should be doing this, to be on Russia's border, and provoking in the way they are doing. It's almost as if NATO, Obama, and the rest are trying to set a trap for Vladimir Putin. It is an incredibly difficult time for Russia. As we all know, we are about to commemorate the centenary of the first world war. That began, yes, partly by design but it also was triggered by a number of incidents and any war can happen that way. That is my experience as a war correspondent. Although there may be a policy, a design, an aim, a strategy but there can be incidents which can start the war without people wanting it to start. . . .

Ukraine has become a kind of awful theme park for those agencies we know so well: CIA, FBI, the director of the CIA has dropped in along with Vice President Biden and the mercenaries, the successors of the infamous Blackwater organization, are said to be there. . . . This is an extraordinarily important operation, and I repeat, operation, for the United States. They finally gained access to the buffer state, to Ukraine, almost the last hurdle, if you like, before Russia.

... Of course it was planned. We have the tapes of Victoria Nuland who's boasting of the United States spending several billion dollars to get rid of the regime that it didn't like in Kiev and instal another regime. This is a US-installed regime.

What is there in Ukraine for the US? Above all, there is strategic position. There is a toe hold, more than a toe hold, in a part of the world where it is only relatively recently been able to gain access and the most important prize in that was undoubtedly Crimea. This was the home of the Russia's fleet. This was Russia's access. This is where we now see US ships exercising within sight of the Russian base. . . . it was all part of a provocation.

From whence cometh the war? It is too easy to put the blame on one man or one

party when the problem is systemic. Since 1913, when the unconstitutional Federal Reserve System was enacted by Congress and passed into law by President Wilson, there has been a privileged, private bank which has arrogated to itself the power to create money out of thin air. Owned from abroad by world Jewry, it is the enemy within the gate. Absent any accountability, the never-audited FED freely advances an ancient, nefarious scheme to take over the world and establish what they delight to call The New World Order. Beginning in 1914 with WWI, they have sponsored war after war to consolidate their hold on the world. We see what this is leading to, it is leading to the brink of the abyss, to a potential extinction event, yet nothing it seems will stop them from attempting to fulfill their mad ambition.

If we love our country, then we must hate their government, for their government is vicious and evil, but our country is beautiful, as are our people beautiful or will again be beautiful, once the boot of oppression has been lifted up from off their faces.

Let us get real about where things really stand: the "smart" electric spy grid is not ours; nor is the counterfeit (Federal Reserve Note) currency ours, nor is the rotten-to-the-core Core Curriculum accepted by 45 states and the District of Columbia for their public school system ours (for it was cooked up and paid for by Bill Gates); nor is the Internet of things ours; nor is the fluoride-poisoned, municipal water systems ours; nor is much of the Obongo medical system ours; nor is the too-big-to-fail banking system ours; nor is the Mass Media, publishing industry, Communications Industry (cell phones, land lines, cable) ours; nor is the Monsanto-dominated, terminator-gene, Agricultural system ours; nor is the-best-that-money-can-buy Congress ours; nor are the Courts or the Executive Branch ours; for they are all so many bought and paid for plantation darkies who do their masters' bidding, that being the axis of evil: the Rothchild/Rockefeller dynasty. Our foe is not the 1%. Our foe is the .001% who rule the world.

Albeit we benefit from the Internet, nonetheless it is not ours, rather, it is theirs for they created it for the very purpose of surreptitiously watching our every keystroke, watching our every move, and, in consequence, we all have dossiers written up on us, stored away for future use against us at the great, secret military facility in Utah. As one CIA agent admitted, "we kill people on the basis of metadata." And of course they have much more than metadata by which they profile us and put us onto lists of one kind or another.

We know what we don't have. What do we have? Says Nina Simone:

I got my hair, my head, my brains, my ears, my fingers, my toes,

my neck, my boobies, my heart, my liver, I got my blood. I've got

life. I've got my freedom. And nobody's gonna take it away. I've got life.

If the present trend continues, we're not going to have a pot to piss in or a window to throw it out of. What to do? for one, either we all hang together or we all hang separately. Hang together, how? It means having leadership. Sheep without a shepherd are sheep in big trouble, which is why the Occupy Wall Street movement was such a fiasco. Billed as a leaderless movement, it was easily infiltrated by Wall Street's bully boy, George Soros. Because it was a leaderless movement, it was easily infiltrated by the FBI and other secret agencies who busted it up using police action.

Great leaders exist in the world, men and women who are a complete inspiration. However, none of them seem to be serving currently in the Congress or in any other branch of government and for good reason, because, while cream rises to the top, scum gets there faster. It is here where we can all benefit by taking a page from the book about America's once truly free people, its indigenous natives. For untold millennia they lived in balance with nature and, for untold millennia they were ruled by their elders who were never elected, but self-selected through a process of mutual recognition, a process recognized as authoritative by their people. Think of the Iroquois League and the grand council of sachems; think of tribal chiefs surrounded by elders, braves, women, children, rendering their judgments in the sight of all.

Such a leadership body as this could easily talk over the heads of TV news anchors and the ruling class in Washington. According to recent Gallop polls: only 7% of Americans register having high confidence in Congress (down from 42% in 1973), while only 18% have high confidence in Television News (down from 46% in 1993).

As far as the interloper in the White House goes, millions would respond in a heart beat to have him physically removed from office. Given the collapse in confidence in many public institutions, it is possible to see how, through the exercise of moral authority, a distinguished body of elders could facilitate a transfer of allegiance to humane, small-scale institutions from failed ones, especially if the Abominator declares martial law and nullifies the Constitution. This autonomous body need not physically assemble, too inviting a target to you know who, who might do you know

what. We're modern. Conference calls will do. For too long people have been conditioned to think in terms of false dichotomies, liberal/ conservative, Republican/ Democrat. Enough, I say, of coffeehouse liberals who want to be liberal with other people's money; enough too of deracinated cosmopolitans who think in terms of global villages, which in reality consist only of atomized individuals, answerable to an all-powerful government; as well, enough of country club conservatives who genuflect to the Chamber of Greed, who suppose that corporations should be granted legal personhood. Enough too of the Tweedledum/ Tweedledee, Left/Right, Big Gov/Big Biz paradigm. We can do better than this.

The world need not await the invention of some new form of governance but only return to what is tried and true. Back to the land, it beckons to us to return; and to face-to face communities: family, friends and neighbors be true; back to being proud, independent people who create their own folkways without reference to Uncle Sugar.

Small is beautiful baby! Enough of big city meanness. We need to make the world safe again for tribalists, for that is what all our ancestors were not that many thousand years ago. Local people do not destroy their habitat. Therefore away with the UN's Agenda 21 which would denude the land of people and herd them into cities.

The way forward is the way back to the fork in the road where humanity went wrong, when it fell subject to empire, whether in Egypt, Babylon, China, Peru, etc., for this amounts to rule from afar by distant, uncaring bureaucracies. Always with empire there are a chosen few, i.e., the in crowd, who lord it over everyone else. There are emperors who are worshiped, and priests who direct the worship, and soldiers who enforce the worship. Such is the way of hierarchy. It was not so in the beginning.

Our traditions, as I was taught by our elders, relate tribal life to the circle. In a circle all are equal, all are heeded. The way that Black Elk, the Oglala holy man, put it, "In the old days, when we were a strong and happy people, all our power came from the sacred hoop of the nation. As long as that hoop remained unbroken the people flourished." My elders assured me that, although the instructions to live in a circle was transmitted through our traditions, it was a way for all people, not just for us. Not an "Indian way" but a "human being way". As they instructed me to do I have been passing along this information to others around the world for forty years now. I learned from

the elders and saw for myself what were the benefits of the circle way of living; being a scholar I also studied to understand what happened to the circle in history. It was clear this way was the most ancient and most successful form of society ever used by human beings.

I am planning a longer study of history and pre-history to investigate what happened to the circle and why it was not incorporated into the beginnings of civilization. The overly simple answer to that is that civilization in most places began with sudden explosions of population that obliterated the tribal circles with sheer numbers of people. The energy that held circles together was mutual protection and support that developed our very human attributes of cooperation and caring. The energy, in other words, of love. When the mutual support and caring of the circles was no longer available, the new world of strangers was frightening. Out of that fear arose individuals desperate to survive and using their strength and cunning to do so, generally by means of violence. The chaos of population without circles was soon replaced by a different order: the order of warlords, of authority and hierarchy, held together by the threat of violence, of death or enslavement. The energy, in other words, of fear.

The newly ordered warrior societies began to conquer their neighbors and forced them to submit to their warrior rule. And so proceeds all of history for past ten thousand years, wresting all power from the women and oppressing the children. Our people, the native of North America, were one of the last to be conquered and brought under this rule of man-made law and violence.

Most of the world has accepted this state of things because they see no alternative. They make the best of it, even if it means taking on more jobs and cutting themselves further from closeness with their children and families, from friends and elders. There are signs now of worldwide discontent: uprisings of young people against oppressive governments, terrorism by people feeling powerless and unheard, craziness of individuals breaking under the stress and killing loved ones, children and random strangers.

But the need for a more human way of life has also been moving in a more positive and creative direction. This direction, which has been building for many decades, slowly over half a century, has been picking up speed because of our environmental crisis. The term that has been adopted by many people involved is "eco-village". Thousands of people around the world with the understanding that "small is beautiful", are networking and learning from each other how to create sustainable lifestyles that will not deplete the planet's resources or harm other life forms. You could call these communities tribes, they are certainly living together cooperatively, in mutual support of each other to some degree, and caring for the land that supports them. Most of these people would not object to being called tribal, and indeed some of them think of themselves that way. But I would like to propose a further investigation of the benefits to be gained by living the circle way of life. For that I want you to consider these aspects of tribal societies, and in the future I will refer to them together as the Circle Way, which is how I call what I explore and teach in our workshop and camps. Now I want you to know that I do not believe that any society has ever been perfect any more than any individual person has. There have been and are tribal societies that have gone out of balance and acted in less than human ways. If you ask me why of course I do not know, but I feel quite sure that the origins of inhumanity are to be found in fear. It is fear that prompted societies to propitiate the gods in blood, in violence and mistreatment. The monotheistic religions of the world have also suffered from that affliction. But in my experience of the many indigenous peoples of the world I am convinced these fearful practices are not common, are in fact rare anomalies overall. So, even as we might consider an ideal human being upon which to model our actions, I would propose we describe an ideal society to be a model for our community.

Imagine now that you were experiencing a life in such a community. As a baby being born you would open your eyes to a group of loving women, the midwives and helper assistants who have been waiting for the magical moment of your appearance, to help you into this world in the softest and gentlest way. There is nothing but wonder and gratitude at your arrival. The faces you see are smiling with love

and gleaming with tears of joy. You are here at last! The whole tribe is waiting to meet you and cheer you into their world. You look to make connections and each one wants to connect with you, to help and guide you. Your coming has brought new happiness to the people. You have many relatives: the whole village is your family.

Growing up when there are confusions and frustrations, conflicts, losses and other unavoidable hurts, there are many to learn from, wise elders and storytellers, clan mothers, aunties, uncles, older children. Through the years of your life you stay close to these people, and as you grow old the love received and the love you give reach ever deeper dimensions. You work and play and celebrate together, through laughter and through grief, through the birth of babies, their growing to adults, and the coming of new generations. All helping one another, learning together, planting harvesting, building together, dreaming together, and filling the seasons with celebration.

This is the Circle Way. Human beings became human because they came together to help each other and we have evolved with helpfulness as a basic ingredient of our nature. In that way each one was equally important, each must be listened to, to be understood and appreciated. This is why as long as the circle was unbroken the people flourished. When we lose the circle we lose each other, we become afraid, and we lose our humanity. And that is why we live today in such a inhuman world.

Even when we lose all that and begin to hurt ourselves and others, the circle can heal us. The circle can make us human again. That is what happens in our prison circles. Simply by learning respect, by treating each other respectfully, listening to one another, being there to support each other, the circle members begin to heal.

(Manitonquat)

Only those with strong minds, true hearts, great faith and willing hands rightly qualify as leaders. Real leaders are men and women whom the lust for office cannot kill but are clear-sighted in private life and public thinking.

Meanwhile, those who are not leaders but are followers (as I count myself to be), do well to strengthen the hand of those worthy few individuals who exercise leadership

among us, for true leaders are vigilant on our behalf as those who must give account. May they do this with joy, not with groaning, for that would not be profitable for us.

Odd our hearing so little about followship: my spell-checker fails even to recognize it as a word, yet leadership and followship are two sides of the same coin. Those dealing in honest wares will want to invest in such a coin.

What brings leaders and followers together? common ground, an agreed upon agenda. But who will define and articulate such an agenda? Any and all who apply themselves to it. All are welcome to critique or augment it, as we will.

Ten prohibitions:

Certain things must not be, one of these being GMO. Thou shalt not rape mother nature through genetic modification. It is to play God. It is an abomination.

Thou shalt not have nuclear power. This is an abomination. It is the absolute, non-negotiable core value of leadership and followship to oppose these activities.

Thou shalt not doctor people's water without their knowledge or informed consent. It is an abomination.

Nor shalt thou vaccinate people against their will, much less little children with mercury-laced preservatives. It is an abomination.

Nor shalt thou wipe out honeybees with neonicotinoid pesticides. It is an abomination.

Thou shalt not poison people with chem-trails or pollute the environment with aluminum and barium. It is an abomination.

A man's home is his castle. Thou shalt not violate our 4^{th} amendment right to be safe and secure in our own homes. The violation of privacy is an abomination.

Thou shalt not participate in aggressive banker war, whether in Iraq, in Afghanistan, in Yemen, etc., etc. It is an abomination.

Thou shalt not use drones to attack people. It is an abomination.

Thou shalt not shield from justice the abominable terrorists who committed 9-11.

Seeking solutions:

Rightly Abraham Lincoln said:

"The greatest fine art of the future will be the making of a comfortable living from a small piece of land."

Odd his saying that, for, as a railroad lawyer, he helped facilitate the growth of large farms and distant markets. As prophecy his observation failed in the short- and midterm but old Abe may have it right after all.

If aquaponics is as amenable to small scale development as some think it is, and takes off as some think it will, it could become the great fine art of the future Abe foresaw, a system not requiring prime farm land, where an empty lot or flat rooftop will do. With continually re-circulating water, where plants and fish exist in symbiotic relationship, the fish generating the nutrition the plants need, the plants providing the habitat the fish need. No pipedream, Mauro Muro of Headwater Aquaponics is currently hatching cold water fish and harvesting beautiful gourmet salad greens right here on the Olympic Peninsula in the Northwest of WA State. She is proving "the experts" wrong who say one can only produce catfish and tilapia in heated water. Fish and plants grow very well in cold water, fish such as Arctic char and rainbow trout.

Increasingly efficiencies in solar energy combined with smarter storage strategies is making getting off the grid ever easier. In this regard, India is planning to electrify the homes of 400 million in the next several years using non-polluting solar energy.

Germany produces half of energy with solar

Germany produced a record 50 percent of its electricity needs through solar panel at the start of June 2014, breaking a huge milestone on its march to renewable energy.

On Monday June 9th, which was a national holiday, solar power production peaked at 23.1 GW, which equalled 50.6 percent of total electricity demand - setting another milestone.

The week was unusually hot with highs of 37C and Tobias Rothacher, expert for renewable energies at Germany Trade & Invest, the country's economic development agency, put the record down to the warm weather and the fact it was a public holiday.

But he added: "I think we could break a new record every two to three months now. We are installing more and more PVs [solar panels]." The success of Germany's solar production lies with encouraging people to install them on their roof tops rather than building huge solar farms. Rothacher said 90 percent of solar panels in Germany were on individuals' roofs.

(The Local, Germany's news in English)

All kinds of good ideas are out there on the internet to help us live lightly on the land. One of these is called "Watercone," a way to distill water using sunlight.

Education

It is not right that children are put on the front lines to faces the likes of Bill Gates. It is up to parents *not* to send their children to Bill Gates' "Common Core," schools, where, while being subjected to heavy-handed, Big Brother surveillance; also, to outrageous prying into their personal lives, they are propagandized by lying messages about how good the government is and how they must obey government. Even as their developing value systems are subtly being subverted, their personal information is downloaded daily to a federal data base. This is added to a dossier that will follow them around throughout their lives and is not kept private but is shared with corporate partners. Common Core teaches to the exam. Test, test. Test. Create poodles who jump through hoops. All spontaneity and love of learning (as well as love of teaching) is drained away. By the way, the Common Core math program is just a hoot.

By making judicious use of the internet, by utilizing neighborhood resources and neighborly cooperation, we can bring up a generation of young people who know the 3 R's, while leaving it to each individual family to instil its own cultural values.

The university as we know it is headed for extinction.

In inflation-adjusted dollars, the average price of a four-year college education is 2.5 times what it was in 1980. During the same period, real wages for most Americans have been flat. People in the USA now need a college education just to maintain the same standard of living enjoyed by their parents and grandparents, but the cost of that education keeps going up. So more students go into greater amounts of debt to finance their educations.

As the traditional college model has become less convenient and more expensive, the opposite has happened to information. When I grew up, newspapers printed the news on paper, radio programs were broadcast over the air, and there were three TV channels. Then cable, satellite, and the internet completely

disrupted the media industry. Today anyone can access almost any kind of news or entertainment at any time, and, perhaps even more importantly, anyone can create it – much of the content is user-generated. In many ways this transformation resembles Gutenberg's invention of the movable-type printing press, which broke the monopoly on information that had existed in Europe for centuries.

The same is now happening to higher ed.

There's no moat, no wall, no gatekeeper controlling how the information is accessed or interpreted. It is open source and the architecture is scalable.

According to Wael Fakharany, Google's regional director for Egypt and North Africa, worldwide there are 4 billion Google searches and 4 billion online video playbacks every day. Every second, someone uploads an hour of video to YouTube. These webpages, videos, and the ability to search them are free.

At this point you are probably thinking that 1) the internet is an unorganized and unreliable mess, and 2) undergraduate students still need the traditional university to organize, evaluate, and deliver educational content effectively.

Wrong.

People interested in learning have been migrating from free but unorganized educational online resources – the webpages that turn up in a Google search and the videos uploaded onto YouTube – to free organized information for several years. Now the movement is toward educational systems – housed at least in part online and frequently open-source – that offer convenient, affordable, and credentialed content mastery.

The simplest example of free organized information is Wikipedia, which started 2001. Wikipedia's content is 100 percent user-generated.

A step up in terms of quality is MIT's OpenCourseWare, which began in 2002 and currently has material from over 2,000 courses, such as classical mechanics.

Khan Academy, which began in 2006, now has over 3,000 video tutorials. Khan Academy's motto is to provide a "free world-class education to anyone anywhere."

The nonprofit educational foundation TedEd, a spinoff of TED, went live last month.

People can now use this free online content to learn anything, anywhere, anytime. There's just one problem: how do you demonstrate what you've learned to others? If you walk into a job interview and

say "I learned chemistry from Khan Academy," the person on the other side of the table will simply shout "Next!" You need some sort of recognized, accepted credential signifying that you learned what you say you've learned.

Historically credentialing has been the function of the course credit and diploma offered by the traditional university. It has enabled universities to maintain an expensive monopoly on higher education, despite inroads by lower-cost but less prestigious for-profit institutions.

Until now.

Students who take the equivalent of an online self-paced correspondence course from the non-profit Saylor Foundation (motto: "education should be free") and receive a certificate. So what, right? Students can then take an exam administered by the for-profit StraighterLine; if they pass they receive American Council on Education (ACE) credit, which then can be transferred to other educational institutions.

Still not impressed? This month, Saylor and StraighterLine began a partnership with Northern Virginia Community College and George Mason University to make college more accessible and lower the cost of completing a degree. The plan is to allow students to begin their college education at any of these four institutions; prospective students will know upfront the benefits and costs of each starting point.

A different method of unbundling undergraduate education was pioneered at Stanford by Sebastian Thrun and Peter Norvig last fall. Thrun and Norvig taught a massive open online course on artificial intelligence that enrolled 160,000 students. Students were ranked according to performance in the course and received a certificate upon completion.

This year, Norvig and Thrun started Udacity, which currently offers six free computer science courses and enrolls a total of 200,000 students. Udacity's plan is to offer certification to students at an affordable cost.

A similar venture, MITx, offered its first free online course, on electrical engineering, this year. MIT has now partnered with Harvard to create edX, a \$60 million nonprofit joint venture, to offer free online instruction worldwide. Like Udacity, edX will offer certificates demonstrating successful completion of courses for a small fee.

At this point, you might be thinking that these developments aren't relevant, because you teach political science, or history, or English, not computer science. These innovations will affect you, if they haven't already, in three ways.

First, higher education is headed toward a system of price discrimination that resembles a Starbucks menu. Elite universities with established brands will continue to draw students who have the necessary time and money for the traditional full-time, four-year campus experience. The mid- and low-tier brick-and-mortar schools will be forced, due to the increasing convenience and cost-pressure of open source alternatives, to redesign their curriculums or die. They will need to abandon uniform pricing for course credit so that they can hit as many price points as possible, and they will need to go after more undergraduate students who are older, employed full-time, and unwilling to come to campus three days a week between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m.

Second, the transformation of the higher education market will force universities to better demonstrate that the product they are selling justifies the price at which they are selling it. Each item on the educational menu will need to clearly reflect "you pay for what you get and you get what you pay for" because of what open online courses will do to credentialing. Grade inflation is rampant in American higher education, and the massively scaled competition offered by open online courses can produce a credentialing system that is far better than what brick-and-mortar universities – even many of the most prestigious ones – are offering. For example, let's say that Cal Tech gets 10,000 applications a year but admits only 1,000. You're admitted, you enroll, and eventually you graduate at the top of your class. In the end, though, you are still 1 of only 10,000. Now let's say that instead of going to Cal Tech, you take a computer science course from Udacity, or a whole set of them, along with 500,000 other people. You end up being the top student. Best of 500,000 is likely to be a lot more meaningful to an employer than best of 10,000, and you didn't have to pay \$200,000 in tuition, room, and board to prove it. Think what that's going to do to the universities that aren't Cal Tech but that (for now) charge \$200,000 for a bachelor's degree.

Third, instructors will have to pay greater attention to whether their teaching creates value for the student and the university. Massive open online courses are going to generate huge amounts of data on teaching and learning. They'll be able to demonstrate the effectiveness of particular teaching methods much more easily than the compartmentalized classroom environment. The pedagogies that are developed and tested online will filter into the physical classroom, and anyone who is not interested in continuous improvement in their teaching will lose students to the people who are.

Perhaps more importantly, course content will move out of the physical classroom and onto the internet.

Open source initiatives like MIT's OpenCourseWare demonstrate that course content is the least valuable

educational component that universities have to offer, so it's simply given away for free. The more valuable forms of learning that cannot be easily replicated online – research, project design and execution, problem solving, peer-to-peer learning – will take over the classroom. It will be more important for students to figure out how to get from Point A to Point B than for an instructor to tell them that Point B is better than Point A. The lecture at the podium will cease to exist.

As it moves online, educational content will be created and delivered in a distributed manner. Both students and instructors will become accustomed to feeding it forward – they will locate and analyze what others have done, adapt it for their own purposes, and then make what they've created available for others to use in their own learning.

Instructors who are unwilling or unable to engage with content or students in this manner will be at a disadvantage. Unfortunately most PhD programs currently do not provide training in this pedagogy to the graduate students who will be entering the teaching profession. (Chad Raymond)

Set the captives free! Just say no to student loan debt. Let all payments on all student loans be absolved. Over one trillion dollars, every penny of it, is to be absolved. Let the banks eat it. Embolden the students to do civil disobedience. Overwhelm the court system.

It is time to anticipate the US dollar's complete collapse. It is not a matter of if but when it cease to be the world reserve currency, after which nothing will be left to back it up but Jewish hot air and the US military. Meanwhile, the US balance of payment deficits are staggering and ultimately unsustainable. Perhaps this is an appropriate time for a leadership council to roll out a bit-coin like currency, only on a democratic basis, whereby distribution is made to each family. Of course gold and silver will continue to be recognized as legal tender for all debts public or private. An anti-dollar coalition would be the first step for the creation of an anti-war coalition that can help stop US aggression.

All BML land, without exception, is to be turned over to the states and counties.

We have house without people and people without houses. To recapitalize the people and jump start the economy, let every family be forgiven one mortgage.

The most useful function a leadership council could perform is educational. On a daily basis, its members could be going before the public with information to impart their vision of what could be. There doesn't have to be unanimity. They don't have be united. It is best to let debate occur, let differences be aired. On core issues, yes, a united front. Thou shall not commit infanticide or medicate people against their will or have nuclear power, or GMO. No, no, and no. By looking to the first ten amendments to the US Constitution, we will know what are core values. But beyond that, many other issues exist which are not so clear cut and need to be debated.

It would be good thing if leadership put a spotlight on various abuses: as for instance the spreading of dioxin-laced, municipal sewage sludge on farmland. Stopping this practice is a real no-brainer, yet its been going on for decades.

Another example: a Michigan law that requires parents, when taking their children to the doctor, to relinquish them for a private, five minute consultation. Remember, the freedom to associate is the freedom *not* to associate. Of this Christy Duffy relates:

I was there last week for an appointment for Amy. She hurt her foot, which makes dancing difficult, so we had to get that checked out. Amy is 17; I asked if this policy was in effect and if so, how could I opt out. The receptionist told me it's a new law and there is no opting out. Working to keep my cool, I said, "I'm sure there is." She said, "No, there isn't." At which point I asked if I needed to leave and go to the urgent care center because I was not submitting my daughter to such a conversation. That did not go over well. The receptionist closed the window. Almost immediately, the office manager turned the corner and said, "Mrs. Duffy, may I speak with you?"

She said there was a new policy that would allow a child to access his/her medical records online and the child would be allowed to block a parent from viewing the website. The nurse would also inform my children that the doctor's office is a safe place for them to receive information about STDs, HIV and birth control. That is what the nurse would be chatting about with my children without any pesky parental oversight.

I kindly informed her that no one would be talking with my children privately, and I needed to know how to opt out of this policy before bringing Amy back for her physical next month.

By this time, the doctor was ready to see Amy so I had to cut the conversation short because I was not letting my girl out of my eyesight or earshot. Not when it was clear that these people were angling to undermine my parental authority.

Does that sound a bit dramatic to you? It shouldn't. Because that is exactly what they are trying to do. Make sure this is crystal clear: what they want to do is talk to your child about sex and drugs (maybe rock and roll – who knows?) without your input. Is it really such a stretch to imagine that a doctor who does not value abstinence before marriage would encourage your daughters – as young as 12! – to receive birth control? Is it really such a stretch to imagine a nurse telling a young boy – because a 12 year old boy is a BOY – that she will give him condoms so he can be "safe"? Is this what you want told to your children without the ability to filter the info through your world view? Let's get one thing straight: no doctor or nurse is going to sequester my children in an exam room and talk to them privately. Period.

Leadership in action

Refrigeration is a method for storing foods around the world, but places in Africa like Kano City do not have the resources to support a stable supply of electricity to make refrigerators a viable option.

Technology Solution

Mohammed Bah Abba designed an elegantly simple food storage device that is made up of two earthenware pots which utilize the principles of evaporation to create electric-free refrigeration. In between the two pots is a layer of fine, wet, river sand, and on top is a moist jute bag. When kept in a dry, well-ventilated, and shady location, water evaporates, cooling the inner container. As a result, Mohammed's desert refrigerator allows produce to stay fresh for weeks, so less food is wasted, and farmers are able to increase their profits so that they can continue to provide for their communities. Mohammed sells around 30,000 coolers a year to farmers and other people who want to preserve food for their families and communities.

The Mainstream Media Strikes Again

Childhood vaccines are safe and every child should be vaccinated, a new study has revealed. The project screened 20,000 scientific titles and 67 papers on vaccine safety to come to that conclusion.

The study found that side effects from vaccinations are incredibly rare. Additionally, the authors found no connection between vaccines and autism or childhood leukemia, Washington Times reported.

(Design & Trend 07/01/14)

And if you believe any of that, then I have a bridge in Brooklyn I would like to sell you. It is the place of leadership to refute this sort of bald-face lying and to strengthen the hand of parents who are protecting their children from felonious assault.

Aluminum in Vaccines

Aluminum is also present in many children's vaccines as a means to 'boost the immune system.' It is possible that aluminum is even more toxic than thimerosol, which contains mercury and is added to vaccines as a preservative. According to a study published in Current Medical Chemistry, children up to 6 months of age receive 14.7 to 49 times more aluminum from vaccines than the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) safety limits allow. Aluminum has now replaced mercury in many vaccines including Hepatitis and HPV vaccines.

Why Exactly is Aluminum Added to Vaccines?

It comes down to the money. Aluminum is added to vaccines in order to boost the body's reaction to the antigen (the virus or bacteria that causes your body to make antibodies, your natural immune response to foreign invaders). If your body's antigens are increased, then less antigen has to be used by the manufacturers of vaccines, which makes them less expensive.

The evil empire dies or we do

An empire is a despotism, and an emperor a despot, bound by no law or limitation but his own will; it is a stretch of tyranny beyond absolute monarchy. (John Adams)

While I hold no brief for John Adams, his observation about empire seems peculiarly apt for our times. One thing about empires to keep in mind is their limited shelf life. Because they tend to hog all power to themselves, there is no effective countervailing force initially but over time absolute power corrupts absolutely, leading to demise. At least that is the historical experience but that may not hold true if the New World Banker Jewocracy succeeds in its bid to encompass all the world. Then it's hastala vista baby, bye, bye, humanity.

More immediately, we need to prepare for the dollar's demise. The weight of debt encumbering it will bring it down sooner or later but sooner if Germany, for instance, casts its lot financially with the BRIC nations. This scenario is not so far fetched as it might seem. Public opinion in Germany highly favors Russia in its controversy with the Nazi government in Kiev. No way do the German people want to sanction Russia, much less go to war on NATO's behalf. The economic combination: Germany, Russia and China is very compelling. Throw in India and Iran and it's unbeatable.

Public opinion in Germany has turned against the Washington administration that violates with impunity Germany's privacy laws. Burnt fingers are notorious sensitive. German's were burned by the Stasi spying and here it is all over again, with all Germans, from the least charwoman to the Prime Minister, being spied on by NSA. Infernal busybodies, they know no bounds. As the Hildabeast admitted to *Der Spegal*:

The United States could never enter into a No spy agreement with any country, not you [Germany], not Britain, not Canada. (Hillary Clinton)

Then, too, the German people are outraged that they have suffered the greatest day-light robbery ever committed. Once Germany had the second largest stash of gold in the world, representing the industry of the German people. Held in trust by the United States since the beginning of the Cold War, it was brazenly stolen by the banker Jews at the FED. Hundreds of tons of gold stolen. It ain't coming back.

The German economy depends on Russia for raw materials; also as a market for its

finished products. Thus Germany has ever reason to break free of NATO, which has occupied Germany since WWII. Moreover, Germany has enough troops under arms to crush NATO, if only it can muster the will. Therein lies the rub, for Germany is riddled with traitorous spies in the pay of the US. That is where leadership is needed: to encourage the German people to rise up and rid themselves of our common foe.

Unfortunately, the American people at present are too sunk, too divided, to throw off their programing to be of much help. But once the dollar ceases to be the world's reserve currency and a hyper-inflationary tailspin occurs, once the trucks and container ships cease to arrive, once there are riots in the streets, marshal law and people being trundled off to FEMA camps, never to be seen again, basic survival instincts are liable to kick in. Already with food inflation running at 20%, there is beginning to be disquietude and the first stirrings of resistance. The worst and the best is yet to be.

Meanwhile, there is an awakened fraction of the population, not interested in twiddling their thumbs, waiting until everything has gone to hell in a handbag, to begin doing for themselves. They are already executing basic survival strategies.

Strategies for change

At the core of civil resistance is a theory of power that was first codified by Gene Sharp — a writer and teacher who is considered the godfather of the field. Sharp argued that conventional wisdom regards power as "monolithic and relatively permanent." According to this view, power rests in a small number of hands — specifically, the hands of those at the top: tyrants, presidents, and CEOs. These people seem to hold all the cards. They have authority, influence, resources, and, when push comes to shove, the ability to command heavily armed security forces. Sharp contended that people living under dictatorships, implicitly schooled in the monolithic view of power, tend to feel helpless. They are led to believe that there is little anyone can do to challenge the existing regime — unless they somehow have the ear of the tyrant or have amassed a substantial arsenal.

Sharp, however, devoted himself to challenging the thinking behind such despondency. Citing political theorists ranging from the famous (Machiavelli) to the obscure (16th century French philosopher Étienne de La Boétie), he proposed in his landmark 1973 work, The Politics of Nonviolent Action, that the monolithic understanding of power is misleading, and that the truth is different.

Promoting what he has come to call the "social view of power," Sharp contends that people have far more power than they typically realize. "[R]ulers or other command systems, despite appearances,

finished products. Germany has every reason to break free of NATO, which has occupied Germany since WWII. Moreover, Germany has enough troops under arms to crush NATO, if only it can muster the will. Therein lies the rub, for Germany is riddled with traitorous spies in the pay of the US. That is where leadership is needed: to encourage the German people to rise up and rid themselves of our common foe.

Unfortunately, the American people at present are too sunk, too divided, to throw off their programing to be of much help. But once the dollar ceases to be the world's reserve currency and a hyper-inflationary tailspin occurs, once the trucks and container ships cease to arrive, once there are riots in the streets, and marshal law with people being trundled off to FEMA camps, never to be seen again, then basic survival instincts will kick in. Already food inflation is running at 20%. There is beginning to be disquietude and the first stirrings of resistance. The worst and the best are yet to be.

Meanwhile, there is an awakened fraction of the population, not interested in twiddling their thumbs, while waiting for everything to go to hell in a handbag. They are beginning to do for themselves. They are already executing basic survival strategies.

Strategies for change

At the core of civil resistance is a theory of power that was first codified by Gene Sharp — a writer and teacher who is considered the godfather of the field. Sharp argued that conventional wisdom regards power as "monolithic and relatively permanent." According to this view, power rests in a small number of hands — specifically, the hands of those at the top: tyrants, presidents, and CEOs. These people seem to hold all the cards. They have authority, influence, resources, and, when push comes to shove, the ability to command heavily armed security forces. Sharp contended that people living under dictatorships, implicitly schooled in the monolithic view of power, tend to feel helpless. They are led to believe that there is little anyone can do to challenge the existing regime — unless they somehow have the ear of the tyrant or have amassed a substantial arsenal.

Sharp, however, devoted himself to challenging the thinking behind such despondency. Citing political theorists ranging from the famous (Machiavelli) to the obscure (16th century French philosopher Étienne de La Boétie), he proposed in his landmark 1973 work, The Politics of Nonviolent Action, that the monolithic understanding of power is misleading, and that the truth is different.

Promoting what he has come to call the "social view of power," Sharp contends that people have far more power than they typically realize. "[R]ulers or other command systems, despite appearances,

[are] dependent on the population's goodwill, decisions and support," he writes. If people refuse to cooperate with a regime — if civil servants stop carrying out the functions of the state, if merchants suspend economic activity, if soldiers stop obeying orders — even an entrenched dictator will quickly find himself handicapped. If popular disobedience is sufficiently widespread and prolonged, no regime will be able to survive.

A rich field of study into the dynamics of popular uprising has grown out of this core insight: that a more fluid and shifting view of power can be vital in understanding how social movements work. Yet, in the years after Sharp first elaborated his theory, a variety of critics complained that his approach was overly individualistic and voluntarist. Sharp's discussion of power was too focused on personal consent, they argued, and not enough on how power is embedded in social systems and collective institutions.

A concept known as the "pillars of support" made an important contribution to addressing this concern. This idea was developed by a series of trainers in civil resistance, and it was first incorporated into the literature of the field in Robert Helvey's 2004 book, On Strategic Nonviolent Conflict. Helvey, a former U.S. Army colonel, became fascinated with Sharp's work after retiring from the military.

With the "pillars of support," Helvey explains, power still resides in the general population's willingness to accept the legitimacy of a regime and to comply with its mandates; however, this power finds expression in institutions both inside and outside the government: the military, the media, the business community, the churches, the civil service, the educational system, and the courts, among others.

These are all bodies that, in one way or another, provide a regime with the backing it needs to survive.

The "pillars" concept offers a catchy visual metaphor for the social theory of power. Imagine the various institutions of society as columns holding up the roof of a Roman temple. Social movements are pulling at the various columns. If they remove one or two of the pillars of support, the building would be weakened, but it might still stand. However, if people pull out enough of the pillars, the temple is sure to topple, and the movements will triumph.

If we imagine a hated dictator sitting on top of the temple, confidently surveying his dominion, the image of the building's sudden collapse — and the tyrant's resultant tumble — becomes all the more satisfying.

Beyond providing an entertaining exercise in visualization, the idea of the "pillars of support" does several important things. As a refinement of Sharp's theory of power, it highlights the fact that people do not merely interact with a regime as individuals. Instead, their decisions about when

and how they might cooperate are channeled through their various social and professional roles. The "pillars" allow for better strategic thinking on the part of those trying to force change. Movements can scheme about how they might undermine one or more of the various sources of social support for the system — removing the backing of the clergy, for example, or prodding the press to adopt a more critical posture — and thus place the rulers on an ever-wobblier foundation.

A social view of power points to a model for creating change that takes place largely outside of boardrooms and statehouses. This approach is less focused on using insider leverage to win what incremental gains might be possible on an issue at a given moment, and instead is more concerned with altering the climate of public opinion to make much more far-reaching changes possible. . . . a social understanding of power encourages advocates to think bigger. It allows them to break with what some analysts dub the "transactional" nature of conventional politics and instead pursue a "transformational" route to generating social change. Instead of focusing on elites, activists immersed in a social view of power have an alternative: influencing public opinion outside of formal political channels. They do so in the belief that, ultimately, the level of popular backing for an issue — both the amount of latent sympathy present, and the amount that is translated in active, vocal public support — is what makes those in power act, sometimes in ways they would otherwise prefer not to. ". . . tides are vastly shifting underneath."

A transformational approach attempts to move these deeper waters. once an adequate number of props are removed — once opponents of a regime have done enough to undermine its overall structural stability — an edifice that looked inert and immovable can suddenly collapse into rubble. In the context of a dictatorship, we have often seen what happens when the pillars fall. The last stage of the process often involves police and soldiers disobeying orders. In what are known as "security defections," troops might refuse to take their posts or fire on crowds of demonstrators. For undemocratic leaders, such disobedience is a very bad thing. From the Philippines, to Eastern Europe, to Tunisia, these defections have been sure signs that the roof is coming down on a regime.

Yet, by the time this pillar gives way, other indications that a momentous shift is occurring are usually plentiful: professors and intellectuals are in open revolt, journalists are disseminating news through underground channels to bypass censors, workers are on strike, judges are asserting that a ruler's abuses of power violate the law, political parties are demanding greater representation in official bodies, religious leaders are preaching about the moral justification for resistance, musicians are singing protest songs at rallies, and youth groups are taking to the streets.

(Mark Engler, Paul Engler)

An Anglo-speaking, dream-ream leadership council

Mimi Al-Laham (Syrian Girl)

Robert Alvarez, WA D. C.

Judith Andreas, New York

Kevin Annett, Canadian

Chuck Baldwin, Montana

Kevin Barrett, Wisconsin

Jeff Blankfort, USA

Russell Blaylock, USA

Ellen Brown, USA

David Chandler, USA

Helen Caldicott, Australia

Michel Chossudovsky, Ontario

Eustace Conway, North Carolina

James Corbett, Japan

Thomas Drake, USA

Sibel Edmonds, Oregon

Daniel Estulin, Spain

Nigel Farage, UK

George Galloway, UK

Jane Goodall, England

Arnie Gunderson, USA

Wolfgang Halbig, USA

Dean Henderson, USA

Sheikh Imran Hosein, Indonesia

Michio Kaku, Canada

Alan Keyes, Illinois

Annie Machon, UK

Mahatier Mohamad, Malaysia

Manitonquot, Massachusetts

Rania Masri,

Ray McGovern, USA

Joseph Mercola, USA

Herman Morris, UK

Peter Myers, Australia

Ralph Nader, USA

Leonard Peltier, US political prisoner

Duke Pesta, USA

James Petras, USA

John Pilger, UK

Sraddhalu Ranade, India

Jon Rappoport, USA

William Rodriguez, USA

Paul Craig Roberts, USA

Alan Sabrosky, USA

Adrian Salbuchi, Argentina

Israel Shamir, Russia

Vandana Shiva, India

Jeffrey Smith, USA

Rob Stewart, USA

Sherri Tenpenny, USA

Carey Wedler, USA

Alison Weir, USA

John W. Whitehead, USA

Mike Whitney, WA, USA

Dan Wilson, WA, USA

Jonathan Wright, WA, USA

Jim Willie, USA

Boris Worm, Canada

Connecting the dots

Sheep would not go quietly to the slaughter if they knew what was in store for them. Nor would we, which is why we are not privy to the inner counsel of the elite. Yet, by connecting the dots, we can see well enough the outline of their plan. Of course they're not going to tip their hand to us. It is up to us to figure it out for ourselves.

One of the dots to be connected is the Supreme Court decision of 2006, with reference to New London, Connecticut's use of eminent domain for the purpose of seizing private homes to give to a private developer. That decision overthrew centuries of case law respecting private property. Eminent domain has always existed for a public purpose, to punch through a road or other public utility, but this decision was something new. Now, at the whim of the State, on slightest pretext, our homes can be taken. It used to be that a man's home was his castle. No longer.

Another dot to be connected has to do with declaring as 'blighted' certain urban areas (and in some cities that would be as much as 90%) where property taxes can be diverted away from the General Fund and redirected to the Redevelopment Agency, which agency picks favored developers to build low income housing and mixed use. This is called "Smart Growth." "Human habitation," as it is now referred to, is restricted to designated "Urban Growth Boundaries." Think "stack and pack."

Periodically the Federal Reserve will create boom and bust conditions. First they expand the money supply, then they contract the money supply, after which many are bankrupted. This is done very deliberately. It means more for them and less for us because the banks are always made whole but the people are left holding an empty bag. Since the contrived bust of 2008, and the mortgage crisis that followed, family wealth in America has been reduced some 40%. Many have had their homes foreclosed on. Many former homeowners, if they are not currently living under bridges, are now renters living in the Redevelopment Agency's new "smart" projects.

So what lovely things go on in the project? Surveillance, for one. Cameras everywhere having facial recognition capability, all connected up to NSA's data base. You wont make a single phone call or type a key stroke that they don't know about. Even your private conversations will be recorded, thanks to the listening ear attached to your dishwasher, so said, the former head of the CIA, General Betrayus. They will be filming you, too. NSA already has millions of images of naked Americans saved

to their hard drives. Smart meters attached to the smart grid, listening in on dumbass Americans, that's the plan.

By the way, all of this relocation of population is to be done in compliance with Agenda 21, a UN mandate to achieve "sustainability" through centralization. Any reasonable, responsible person will favor sustainability. It's like apple pie and motherhood but their brand of "sustainability" leaves them in charge, while the rest of us are reduced to nothing. A more desirable sustainability integrates people and land

Another dot to be connected has to do with the dollar. It is their chief control mechanism, yet they are destroying it. Why? Because they have something even better up their sleeve. First of all, destroying the dollar means that they get to waltz away from all their indebtedness. Think of all the money owed to foreigners that won't be repaid. But neither will Social Security be paid.

So what is to take the place of the dollar? First, perhaps, a two-tiered dollar, one for domestic use by Americans and one for use overseas. Naturally the ones used by Americans will suffer an immediate, precipitous plunge in buying power. But this is just a stop-gap measure. In the future everyone will be issued a swipe card. There will be no buying or selling without it. I will not say that they will not also have us chipped. Biometric identification will insure against unauthorized use. But how many credits will be loaded on to one's swipe card? That depends on a lot of factors which will be determined for us.

We have heard bandied about in recent years the concept of a carbon tax and the buying and selling of carbon credits. Al Gore, for instance, the inventor of the internet (ha, ha), is heavily invested in a carbon credit exchange from which he has already earned many millions of dollars, some of which, no doubt, he has invested in his heated Olympic-sized pool. Obviously his first interest is not energy conservation.

Something else is going on, namely, that we are being conditioned to accept a new way of thinking. It is not socialism. It is not fascism. While it is may seem to be new to many, in fact, it is not new in concept. Only its implementation will be new. It is called "technocracy," and it involves a scientific dictatorship. It will be claimed that to fight weather change (which used to be called "solar warming"), we will all have to adopt the new system.

Technocracy

- 1. "Register on a continuous 24 hour-per-day basis the total net conversion of energy."
- 2. "By means of the registration of energy converted and consumed, make possible a balanced load."
- 3. "Provide a continuous inventory of all production and consumption."
- 4. "Provide a specific registration of the type, kind, etc., of all goods and services, where produced and where used."
- 5. "Provide specific registration of the consumption of each individual, plus a record and description of the individual."

"Energy Certificates are issued individually to every adult of the entire population. The record of one's income and its rate of expenditure is kept by the Distribution Sequence, so that it is a simple matter at any time for the Distribution Sequence to ascertain the state of a given customer's balance . . . When making purchases of either goods or services an individual surrenders the Energy Certificates properly identified and signed.

"The significance of this, from the point of view of knowledge of what is going on in the social system, and of social control, can best be appreciated when one surveys the whole system in perspective. First, one single organization is manning and operating the whole social mechanism. The same organization not only produces but also distributes all goods and services.

"With this information clearing continuously to a central headquarters we have a case exactly analogous to the control panel of a power plant, or the bridge of an ocean liner."

- Quoting M. King Hubbert, 1932

"This world electric grid, with its omni-integrated advantage, will deliver its electric energy anywhere, to anyone, at any one time, at one common rate. This will make a world-around uniform costing and pricing system for all goods and services based realistically on the time-energy metabolic accounting system of Universe."

"In this cosmically uniform, common energy-value system for all humanity, costing will be expressed in kilowatt-hours, watt-hours and watt-seconds of work. Kilowatt-hours will become the prime criteria of costing the production

of the complex of metabolic involvements per each function or item. These uniform energy valuations will replace all the world's wildly inter-varying, opinion-gambled-upon, top-power-system-manipulatable monetary systems. The time-energy world accounting system will do away with all the inequities now occurring in regard to the arbitrarily maneuverable international shipping of goods and top economic power structure's banker-invented, international balance-of-trade accountings. It will eliminate all the tricky banking and securities-markets exploitations of all the around-the-world-time-zone activities differences in operation today, all unbeknownst to the at-all-times two billion humans who are sleeping."

- Quoting R. Buckminster Fuller (1895-1983), Critical Path, 1982

- - -

"Accelerating Successful Smart Grid Pilots, a World Economic Forum report developed with Accenture and industry experts, sets out the centrality of smart grids as key enablers for a low-carbon economy and in response to increasingly growing energy demands. Over 60 industry, policy and regulatory stakeholders were engaged in the Accelerating Successful Smart Grid Pilots report, to identify the factors that determine the success, or otherwise, of smart grid pilots. There is an opportunity to launch the next wave of development towards a lower carbon energy system, and successful smart grid pilots will be a key step in this process."

- - Quoting "Energy Industry Partnership Programme," World Economic Forum, January 2011.

_ _ _

"There's no global organization to oversee all nations' energy systems transformations' it is a vast movement and it's in its infancy. With our 38 societies and seven councils IEEE is positioned to lead the smart grid initiative. Through them and our 395,000 members, who work in the world's academic, government and private sectors, IEEE touches virtually every aspect of the smart grid.

"We leverage our strong foundation and inclusive collaboration to evolve standards, share best practices, publish developments and provide related educational offerings to further the smart grid. We are at the forefront of advancing technology and facilitating successful deployments throughout the world. Working hand in hand with other leading organizations to create one set of standards for the smart grid is the way we can ensure success."

-- Quote from IEEE's website

- - -

"For those keen to slow global warming, the most effective actions are in the creation of strong national carbon currencies. For scholars and policymakers, the key task is to mine history for guides that are more useful. Global warming is considered an environmental issue, but its best solutions are not to be found in the canon of environ-

mental law. Carbon's ubiquity in the world economy demands that cost be a consideration in any regime to limit emissions. Indeed, emissions trading has been anointed king because it is the most responsive to cost. And since trading emissions for carbon is more akin to trading currency than eliminating a pollutant, policymakers should be looking at trade and finance with an eye to how carbon markets should be governed. We must anticipate the policy challenges that will arise as this bottom-up system emerges, including the governance of seams between each of the nascent trading systems, liability rules for bogus permits, and judicial cooperation.

-- Quoting: Harvard International Review, "A New Currency," 2004

- - -

"There is a new world wide web emerging right before our eyes. It is a global energy network and, like the internet, it will change our culture, society and how we do business. More importantly, it will alter how we use, transform and exchange energy."

- Quoting: Terrawatts.com home page

- - -

In the United States, Smart Grid is escalating without any legislative oversight or involvement; in other words, it is being implemented exclusively by Executive Branch fiat. The same is true in other countries. In addition to the United States, Smart Grid is being implemented in both Canada and Mexico. Planners are working on standards that will integrate all of North America into a single, unified Smart Grid system.

On the world horizon looms a new global currency that could replace all paper currencies and the economic system upon which they are based. The new currency, simply called Carbon Currency, is designed to support a revolutionary new economic system based on energy (production, and consumption), instead of price. Our current price-based economic system and its related currencies that have supported capitalism, socialism, fascism and communism, is being herded to the slaughterhouse in order to make way for a new carbon-based world.

It is plainly evident that the world is laboring under a dying system of price-based economics as evidenced by the rapid decline of paper currencies. The era of fiat (irredeemable paper currency) was introduced in 1971 when President Richard Nixon decoupled the U.S. dollar from gold. Because the dollar-turned-fiat was the world's primary reserve asset, all other currencies eventually followed suit, leaving us today with a global sea of paper that is increasingly undesired, unstable, unusable.

The deathly economic state of today's world is a direct reflection of the sum of its sick and dying currencies, but this could soon change. Forces are already at work to position a new Carbon Currency as the ultimate solution to global calls for poverty reduction, population control, environmental control, global warming, energy allocation and blanket

distribution of economic wealth. Unfortunately for individual people living in this new system, it will also require authoritarian and centralized control over all aspects of life, from cradle to grave.

What is Carbon Currency and how does it work? In a nutshell, Carbon Currency will be based on the regular allocation of available energy to the people of the world. If not used within a period of time, the Currency will expire (like monthly minutes on your cell phone plan) so that the same people can receive a new allocation based on new energy production guotas for the next period.

Because the energy supply chain is already dominated by the global elite, setting energy production quotas will limit the amount of Carbon Currency in circulation at any one time. It will also naturally limit manufacturing, food production and people movement. Local currencies could remain in play for a time, but they would eventually wither and be fully replaced by the Carbon Currency, much the same way that the Euro displaced individual European currencies over a period of time.

The development and implementation of Smart Grid technology in the U.S. reinventing the electrical grid with Wifienabled digital power meters is proceeding at breakneck speed. Although Smart Grid is the result of years of government planning, the recent kickoff was made possible through massive "green" grants that were quietly included in President Obama's economic stimulus package starting in 2009.

These lucrative grants have drawn in a host of corporate players, from utility companies to digital meter manufacturers to control software vendors. Global companies like IBM, GE and Siemens are putting their full effort behind the build-out" that will consolidate all of America into a single, integrated, communication-enabled electric delivery and monitoring system, collectively called Smart Grid.

Technocracy is a totalitarian system of government where scientists, engineers and technicians monitor and control all facets of personal and civic life economic, social and political. Americans are a freedom-loving people who would certainly reject Technocracy's stealth takeover, if only they were aware of it.

George Orwells 1984, H.G. Well's The Shape of Things to Come and Huxley's "scientific dictatorship" in Brave New World foresaw much of it.

-- Quoting: Patrick Wood

Toward an Anglo-speaking Leadership Council

Mimi Al-Laham (Syrian Girl)

Robert Alvarez, WA D. C.

Judith Andreas, New York

Kevin Annett, Canadian

Chuck Baldwin, Montana

Kevin Barrett, Wisconsin

Jeff Blankfort, USA

Russell Blaylock, USA

Ellen Brown, USA

David Chandler, USA

Helen Caldicott, Australia

Michel Chossudovsky, Ontario

Eustace Conway, North Carolina

James Corbett, Japan

Thomas Drake, USA

Sibel Edmonds, Oregon

Daniel Estulin, Spain

Nigel Farage, UK

George Galloway, UK

Jane Goodall, England

Arnie Gunderson, USA

Wolfgang Halbig, USA

Dean Henderson, USA

Sheikh Imran Hosein, Indonesia

Michio Kaku, Canada

Alan Keyes, Illinois

Annie Machon, UK

Mahatier Mohamad, Malaysia

Manitonquot, Massachusetts

Ray McGovern, USA

Joseph Mercola, USA

Herman Morris, UK

Peter Myers, Australia

Ralph Nader, USA

Leonard Peltier, US political prisoner

Duke Pesta, USA

James Petras, USA

John Pilger, UK

Sraddhalu Ranade, India

Jon Rappoport, USA

William Rodriguez, USA

Paul Craig Roberts, USA

Alan Sabrosky, USA

Adrian Salbuchi, Argentina

Israel Shamir, Russia

Vandana Shiva, India

Jeffrey Smith, USA

Rob Stewart, USA

Sherri Tenpenny, USA

Carey Wedler, USA

Alison Weir, USA

John W. Whitehead, USA

Mike Whitney, WA, USA

Jonathan Wright, WA, USA

Jim Willie, USA

Boris Worm, Canada

Who attacked NYC on 9-11? Who employed sophisticated, nuclear weapons with which to turn three World Trade Center towers to dust? Who powered down the buildings several days in advance, thereby deactivating security cameras? Who had Building 7 'pulled." Who were the dancing, Weehawken five, who admitted on camera that they "were there to document the event." Who said immediately afterward, it was "good for Israel." Who lied us into numerous wars of aggression?

Our enemy is not Islam. Our enemy is not Iran, Russia, China, Venezuela, or Cuba. Domestically, our enemy is the FED. Our enemy is NSA. Our enemy is in Home Land Security. Our enemy is in the White House and in the US Congress. Our enemy is the International, Rothschild Banking Establishment, which works hand in glove with the State of Israel to foment total war:

If we just let our vison of the world go forth, and embrace it entirely, and we don't try to piece together clever diplomacy but just wage a total war, our children will sing great songs about us years from now. (Richard Perle, aka The Prince of Darkness)

No they won't, Richard Perle, ringleader in the Project for a New American Century, whose infamous report concerning a "new Pearl Harbor" was more than just self-fulfilling prophecy.

No they won't, Richard Perle, overseer of the very Pentagon office which generated false intelligence about Iraq harboring weapons of mass destruction.

No they won't, Richard Perle, because the Jewish people, like all other peoples on planet earth, have a moral core of decency, this their heritage as human beings.

As much as I hate to disabuse you of the notion, we will not "sing great songs about you years from now;" rather, if any of us are so fortunate as to survive the coming onslaught which you have done more than your share to heap upon the world, as moral beings, we will piss on your bones. Meanwhile, we shall rain on your parade by telling the truth about you and your ilk and do all we can to have you prosecuted.

May the day arrive soon when you and fellow malefactors, such as Paul Wolfowitz, Kenneth Adelman, Elliott Abrams, Rabbi Dov Zakheim, "lucky Larry" Silverstein, Henry Kissinger, Dick Cheney, etc., etc. are standing in the dock.

Let the call go forth to America's fighting men ane women: come home, help us face down our domestic enemies; defend your homes, your families! Billions of hollow-point bullets have been issued to be used on the people. Now is the time for all good men and women to come to the aid of our country.

The larger picture

Two opposing principles exist in the affairs of man: one centrifugal, one centripetal. Returning power to the people, where each family is able to live at peace under its own fig tree, is power diffused. After centuries of concentrating power in fewer and fewer hands, decentralization has become the right way to go.

As well, there are two opposing forces in the world: East and West. The West dates to Julies Caesar illicitly crossing the Rubicon in 49 BC with an army, not subject to local control. As he put it: "the die is cast." And so it was, republican rule was lost.

As if it were an extension of Rome, the West is imbued with the idea that bigger is better. "An empire of liberty," Jefferson called it. Even Abraham Lincoln was infected with the idea that bigger was better, one nation, indivisible. But why indivisible? What's wrong with division? Didn't America divide from Britain?

Has anyone looked critically of late at the architecture of Washington, D. C.? The motifs are entirely Roman, not Christian. The vision was Masonic. By no means can the great shining failure that the United States is be laid at the feet of aliens coming from abroad 100 years ago and taking control of its currency. No, America failed the day the Articles of Confederation, which provided unequivocally for sovereign states, was set aside to be replaced with the US Constitution, which, as we well see, ultimately facilitated the concentration of power in Washington, D. C.

It was a bait and switch deal. The patriots fought on the understanding that they were going to have sovereign States but what they got, instead, was a consolidation. Let us revive the first ten amendments to the Constitution, every one of which is being grossly violated, but at the same time, let us be prepared to jettison the rest.

"American exceptionalism" is an affront to the world. Either we're all special or no one is. Either way, what's so special about a nation that was stolen from its native inhabitants to be worked by slaves? Manifest Destiny, American exceptionalism, who needs it? Humility is in order.

Currently huge 1000 foot long containerships come from overseas loaded with all manner of goods no longer made in America. It is what pacifies the American people and keeps them quiet. We as a people are corrupted by all the merchandise extorted from the rest of the world, but the day is coming soon when the gravy train comes to an end. It is the place of leadership to help prepare us for that day.

Albeit it may be a futile, twilight struggle, it is our privilege to soldier on against the rulers of darkness and, who knows, maybe reason and civility will yet prevail. Is it a small sign of Americans awakening that Virginia voters threw off their programming by defeating Zionist warmonger Rep. Eric Cantor in his bid for re-election? Maybe.

It is time to get real. It was December, 2012, when the interloper-in-chief signed into law the National Defense Authorization Act, authorizing the suspension of Habeas Corpus, also unlimited military detention without the placing charges or use of court warrant.

The courts will now decide if we will fatally damage our democracy and become a military state or protect what is left of our rights as citizens. (Chris Hedges, February 11, 2013)

Has anything been done about this by the US Supreme Court? All challenges were refused on bogus grounds having to do with legal standing.

It was June, 2013, when the Snowden revelations regarding the NSA began to be made public. Has anything come of that? Have laws outlawing non-court approved wiretapping been enforced? Not one prosecution has occurred. America is gone; it ain't coming back. In its place, there is the Ashkenazi, surveillance state.

It was September, 2012, when the Benghazi bugger silenced forever Ambassador Stevens, who, according to some, had reservations about the super-secret American arms pipeline that he was helping to run, that ran from Libya to Turkey and from Turkey to Al-CIA-da terrorists in Syria. Again, not one prosecution has occurred.

Paraphrasing Russell Means from an interview he gave in the last year of his life: he said that he cut his hair, a sign of mourning, because his people were dying and then, ironically, he learned that he is dying but he said that he could go in peace because he now knew that America, too, was dying.

Don't try fixing it. Let it go. It is the place of followship/leadership to envision an alternative to what is obviously on the way out and cannot be saved. It is important to get ahead of the curve if we are to be effective helping ourselves and others cope with what is liable to be coming down the pike.

Our most immediate mission, as I see it, is to work at saving the planet from the madmen in Washington who seem hellbent on driving the nation to thermonuclear war. Maybe they calculate surviving years-on-end in lavishly provisioned subterranean cities, only to come out after the rest of us have died off from radiation to rule whatever is left. They are fully capable of thinking such thoughts and doing such deeds.

An Anglo-speaking, dream-ream leadership council

Mimi Al-Laham (Syrian Girl)

Robert Alvarez, WA D. C.

Judith Andreas, New York

Kevin Annett, Canadian

Chuck Baldwin, Montana

Kevin Barrett, Wisconsin

Jeff Blankfort, USA

Russell Blaylock, USA

Ellen Brown, USA

David Chandler, USA

Helen Caldicott, Australia

Michel Chossudovsky, Ontario

Eustace Conway, North Carolina

James Corbett, Japan

Thomas Drake, USA

Sibel Edmonds, Oregon

Daniel Estulin, Spain

Nigel Farage, UK

George Galloway, UK

Jane Goodall, England

Arnie Gunderson, USA

Wolfgang Halbig, USA

Dean Henderson, USA

Tom Horn, USA

Sheikh Imran Hosein, Indonesia

Michio Kaku, Canada

Alan Keyes, Illinois

Annie Machon, UK

Mahatier Mohamad, Malaysia

Manitonquot, Massachusetts

Rania Masri,

Ray McGovern, USA

Joseph Mercola, USA

Herman Morris, UK

Peter Myers, Australia

Ralph Nader, USA

Leonard Peltier, US political prisoner

Duke Pesta, USA

James Petras, USA

John Pilger, UK

Sraddhalu Ranade, India

Jon Rappoport, USA

William Rodriguez, USA

Paul Craig Roberts, USA

Alan Sabrosky, USA

Adrian Salbuchi, Argentina

Israel Shamir, Russia

Vandana Shiva, India

Jeffrey Smith, USA

Rob Stewart, USA

Sherri Tenpenny, USA

Josh Tolley, USA

Carey Wedler, USA

Alison Weir, USA

John W. Whitehead, USA

Mike Whitney, WA, USA

Dan Wilson, WA, USA

Jonathan Wright, WA, USA

Jim Willie, USA

Boris Worm, Canada